

**“THE ROLE OF THE INTER-AMERICAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS:
JURISPRUDENTIAL ADVANCES AND NEW RESPONSES”**

Workshop

15 May 2017

Oslo, Norway

PROGRAM

9:00 Welcome notes by Leiry Cornejo Chavez

First Panel: New jurisprudence of the IACtHR and its resulting new challenges

Chair: Leiry Cornejo Chavez

- 9:10-9:30 Jorge Contesse: “The Inter-American Court of Human Rights as supraconstitutional authority”
- 9:30-9:35 Comments by Ximena Soley
- 9:35-9:50 Discussion
- 9:50-10:10 Elena Abrusci: “A good fragmentation? Assessing the positive aspects of judicial fragmentation caused by the Inter-American Court of Human Rights”
- 10:10-10:15 Comments by Juan Pablo Perez Acevedo
- 10:15-10:30 Discussion
- 10:30-10:50 Isabela Gerbelli Garbin Ramanzini: “The IACtHR in Three Times: power elements and alternative roles”
- 10:50-10:55 Comments by Oswaldo Ruiz Chiriboga
- 10:55-11:10 Discussion
- 11:10-11:20 Coffee Break**
- 11:20-11:40 Ximena Soley: “The IACtHR and Judicial Authority”
- 11:40-11:45 Comments by Leiry Cornejo Chavez
- 11:45-12:00 Discussion
- 12:00-12:20 Natalia Torres Zuñiga: “Normative legitimacy of the Inter-American Court of Human Rights: basis for an attempt of a critical approach”
- 12:20-12:25 Comments by Isabela Garbin

12:25-12:40 Discussion

12:40-13:30 Lunch for presenters

Second Panel: Systemic and Institutional responses seeking to stabilize the role of the IACtHR.

Chair: Natalia Torres Zuñiga

13:30-13:50 Oswaldo Ruiz-Chiriboga: "Identifying the Goals of the Inter-American Court of Human Rights: The first step to measure its effectiveness"

13:50-13:55 Comments by Cecilia Bailliet

13:55-14:10 Discussion

14:10-14:30 Leiry Cornejo Chavez: "Collective Reparations by the IACtHR: A hint for understanding the role of the Court?"

14:30-14:35 Comments by Elena Abrusci

14:35-14:50 Discussion

14:50-15:10 Juan Pablo Perez Leon Acevedo: "The Impact of the Inter-American Court of Human Rights Reparation Case-law on the Practice of the International Criminal Courts Reparation System: Contributions, Limitations and Prospects"

15:10-15:15 Comments by Antal Berkes

15:15-15:30 Discussion

15:30-15:40 Coffee Break

15:40-16:00 Cecilia Bailliet: "Rejection of Requests for an Advisory Opinion as an Example of Strategic Prudence by the Inter-American Court of Human Rights- Balancing the Need to Interpret Human Rights Obligations in Relation to Nurturing Democracy while Respecting Sovereignty"

16:00-16:05 Comments by Jorge Contesse

16:05-16:20 Discussion

16:20-16:40 Antal Berkes: "New challenges for the Inter-American Court of Human Rights with respect to the concept of jurisdiction *ratione loci*"

16:40-16:45 Comments by Natalia Torres Zuñiga

16:45-17:00 Discussion

17:00-17:10 Final notes by Natalia Torres Zuñiga